Beel

11/1 9/74

Yosterday you mailed me the first page and the first 4 grafs of the second page of a letter your attached notes describes as "from an ex-FBI man." Your reason was because this might interest me.

It does. I presume the source is Murtagh. What interests as is the meaningless generality and the absence of specifies he can provide, given the dispesition.

Unless there is a brave new informatizand world in what you cut of he's said much more, and much more impressively (and less solf-servingly) in TV.

The record persaules that there is no purpose to be gained by giving you cautions but this gibberishy prompts the unwlecome auggestion again.

There is an substitute for factual knowledge in making this kind of evaluation. There is factual information he could have supplied instead of some of the misleading generalities, where he is careful to label it as opinion. Some, for example, is what your tratwhile every (against when I did also caution you) fucked up several yours ago, at an inspiration I can't specify and don't protond to. But because you found it imappropriate to describe what you deemed it nedessary to withhold by scissors I have ne way of carrying this further and under the circumstances no disposition to take the writing time. Newsver, if you want more and he dien't give it #I'm again going under the belief this is Nurtagh - he was part of the (antl) King operation, at least in Atlanta. Perhaps it is in carlier correspondece you have deemed it inadvisable to share with me. But there is much more this man knows, including about anti-King surveillance.

Another caution: witch these guys who get to retirement ago before they develop pange of conscience. They engage in solf-justification and at the least from it exaggerate. Example: only the dolts didn't know what was going on or what they were part of. They knew. Some have discussed it with me. Others quit ever it.

The opinions he expresses here, which do not seen to be precisely these I've heard him express in the past, are open to question once he gets beyond general knowledge. His guidance ascess to no to be in the least likely direction. And four phoned interruptions in two hours discourages carrying this further. If you'd like to discuss it, fine. I'll take time.

And when he want for Bobhs grazinoss and dealined even to acknowledge my specific questions I find no reassurance. I think I askeds him specific questions and I know I get no acknowledgement and he did take the initiative with Sob.

Are you not concerned that he refers to "the system" but not to the saint? Sincerely,